

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,641	09/15/2003	Burke T. Barrett	1000.026CON	8000
41332 CYBERONICS	7590 08/06/2007 S. INC.		EXAMINER	
LEGAL DEPA	LEGAL DEPARTMENT, 6TH FLOOR		GETZOW, SCOTT M	
HOUSTON, T	NICS BOULEVARD X 77058	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·		3762		
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	\mathcal{H}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/661,641	BARRETT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	/Scott M. Getzow/	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma	• •	merits is		
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 23-25 and 27-37 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23-25,27-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	·	• • •	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/661,641

Art Unit: 3762

Double Patenting

Page 2

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 23-25,27-37 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,047. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are considered to encompass an obvious variant to the structural features of the claims of the '047 patent.
- 3. Claims 23-25,27-37 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 22-24,26-40 of copending Application No. 10/661,640. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are considered to be encompassed by the structural aspects of the claims of the '640 application.

Art Unit: 3762

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 23-25,29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Borkan (6,662,053).

The patent to Borkan is considered to encompass all of the structure of the above claims. The structure of Borkan is also considered to be able to perform the intended uses outlined in the above claims.

5. Claims 23-25,29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barreras et al (5,941,906).

The patent to Barreras is considered to encompass all of the structure of the above claims. The structure of Barreras is also considered to be able to perform the intended uses outlined in the above claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 27,28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borkan (6,662,053) in view of Zabara (5,025,807).

To have the ability to indirectly stimulate the nerves of a patient, as well as to have patient activation, as taught by Zabara, are considered to be common features in the art that enable better treatment for the patient.

Art Unit: 3762

7. Claims 27,28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barreras et al (5,941,906) in view of Zabara (5,025,807).

To have the ability to indirectly stimulate the nerves of a patient, as well as to have patient activation, as taught by Zabara, are considered to be common features in the art that enable better treatment for the patient.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Scott M. Getzow/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3762

/Scott M. Getzow/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

SMG